Appendix 6 – West Northamptonshire Monitoring Framework

			Objecti	ve 1 - Climate	Change			Formatted Table
 Promoting sust Ensuring strate Encouraging responses 	ninimise demand for tainable design and egic development all enewable energy pro development promot	construction i locations are l oduction in ap	in all new deve located and de propriate locat	lopment; signed so as to ions; and		e climate change and ri	sk of flooding;	
Policy Numbers:				S10, S11, H5	5, BN7, BN8, BN9			
Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/Source</u> (for monitoring data)	TriggerSource (for monitoring data)	Contingencies	
Minimum Code for Sustainable Homes Ratings for Residential Development (Unless clearly demonstrated to prevent development viability)	100% achievement of Level 4 now, and 100% Zero carbon standard from 2016.Based on JCS Policy S10: Detailed breakdown by settlement available in policy wording	<u>S11</u> S10	H5 <u>: S10</u>	SA Obj 12/13	Developers, WNDC, LPAs and RSLs <u>/ LPAs,</u> Building Control and WNJPU monitoring	Either: % new homes approved failing to meet current national standard > 210% or % new homes approved where viability analysis justifies relaxation > 15% LPAs, Building Control, WNDC and WNJPU monitoring	Further discussions with developers on viability Consider a review of policy	

Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/Source</u> (for monitoring data)	<u>TriggerSource (for</u> monitoring data)	<u>Contingencies</u>	Formatted Table
BREEAM Standards for Non- Residential Development	Non-Residential Development over 500m ² to achieve a rating of at least Very Good <u>Standard</u>	<u>S11</u> S10	<u>\$10</u>	SA Obj 24	Developers, LPAs, Commercial Users, WNDC <u>/ LPAs, Building</u> <u>Control, WNDC</u> <u>and WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	>10% of qualifying developments fail to achieve the standardLPAs, Building Control, WNDC and WNJPU Monitoring	Review with Development Management Identify barriers preventing achievement	
Sustainable Energy Generation within <u>major</u> new development <u>and SUEs</u> through decentralised	To provide renewable target for sustainable energy at source through decentralised energy schemes consideredgener	<u>S11</u> S10	H5 <u>: S10</u>	SA Obj 15	Developers, WNDC, Environmental Services at Northamptonshire County Council and LPAs. <u>/</u> <u>WNDC,</u> <u>Northamptonshire</u>	>0 new development schemes (excluding those already permitted) that are proposed in the Joint Core Strategy and approved after 2014 that fail to provide	Consider barriers to implementation and relevance of policy with Development Management and key organisations	Formatted: Font: Font color: Auto
and renewable or low carbon sources	ation on <u>all</u> new developments <u>and regeneration</u> <u>schemes through</u> <u>open book</u> <u>analysis with</u> <u>LPAs in Policy</u> S10,				County Council , LPA Application Monitoring and WNJPU Monitoring	decentralised energy opportunitiesWNDC, Northamptonshire County Council, LPA Application Monitoring and WNJPU Monitoring	Work with developers / land owners to develop viable and suitable schemes. Review policy	Formatted: Font: Font color: Auto Formatted: Font: Font color: Auto

Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/Source</u> (for monitoring data)	<u>Trigger</u> Source (for monitoring data)	Contingencies] ◄	Formatted Table
Planning Permissions Granted Contrary to EA Advice on Water Quality or Flood Risk Grounds (as in Core Output Indicator E1) after failing the sequential and / or exceptions test	Based on JCS Policy BN7, No Applications should be granted contrary to EA Advice	BN7	S10; BN4; BN8; BN9	SA Obj 25/26/28	Environment Agency, NCC (through Water Management Plan), LPAs, Developers, WNDC <u>/ Environment</u> Agency <u>Response -</u> <u>Objections to</u> <u>Applications,</u> WNDC, WNJPU <u>Monitoring</u>	Environment Agency Response / Objections to Applications, WNDC, WNJPU MonitoringTarget is <u>0 permissions</u> granted contrary to advice.	Identify cause and consider justification. <u>Review</u> implementation. <u>Consider any</u> remedial action <u>Consider policy</u> review		Formatted Table
NO2 Levels at existing designated AQMAs (LTP Indicator (LTP5))	- <u>New</u> <u>development</u> <u>should address</u> <u>existing</u> <u>problems.</u> <u>Regular reporting</u> <u>of measurements</u>	<u>BN9</u>	-	SA Obj 2/3	NCC Highways,LA Environmental Services, HA, LTA (Will report LPT Indicator 5)-/ LAs, DEFRA Reporting	>5% increase in no. of properties within designated AQMA;s, based on no. of properties at 01/04/2011	Identify causes and identify what planning related solutions are available Discuss with partners potential solutions		

Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery)/ <u>Source</u> (for monitoring data)	TriggerSource (for monitoring data)	<u>Contingencies</u>	•—	Formatted Table
Percentage of River Lengths Assessed as good biological / chemical quality	New development should address existing problems. Regular reporting of measurements	<u>BN9</u>	<u>S10; BN8;</u> <u>BN7</u>	<u>SA Obj</u> <u>25/28</u>	Environment Agency standards: WNDC; Water Companies / EA	Decrease of 5% in river lengths assessed of good biological / chemical quality	Identify causes and identify what planning related solutions are available Discuss with partners potential solutions		

		0	bjective 2 - In	frastructure a	nd development				
	of people and busine					infrastructure is adequa ation and new developm]	Formatted Table
Policy Numbers:	INF1, INF2, S1,	C1, C2, C3,	C4; N3; N4; N	5; N6; N7; N8;	N9; N11; N12; D1; D	3; D4; D5; T1; T2; T3; T	4; B1; B2; B3; B4		
Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> <u>Source (for</u> <u>monitoring data)</u>	TriggerSource (for monitoring data)	<u>Contingencies</u>		
Delivery of major Infrastructure priorities identified to facilitate development against IDP timescale (Local Indicator)	Table <u>7</u> 4 in Infrastructure Chapter of the JCS. Measurement against details presented in West Northamptonshire Infrastructure Delivery Plan	INF1	INF2; C1, C2, C3, C4, S1, N3, N4, N5, N6, N7, N8, N9, N11, N12, D1,D3, D4, D5, T1, T2, T3, T4, B1, B2, B3, B4	SA Obj 1/2/10/11/ 19/24	LPAs, NCC, WNDC, HA, Developers, Service Providers / IDP Monitoring and Review Process, WNDC, Feedback from Service Providers, WNJPU Monitoring	Any significant potential delay in the supply of infrastructure affecting housing / employment delivery identified in the Annual monitoring of the Infrastructure Delivery PlanIDP Monitoring and Review Process, WNDC, Feedback from Service Providers, WNJPU Monitoring	Identify the problem and barriers / causes preventing infrastructure delivery. Dialogue with developers / statutory undertakers / LA partners re resolving the problem Discussion of alternative solutions / reassessment of need and/or timing of infrastructure need.	-	Formatted: Space After: 6 pt

Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery)/ Source (for monitoring data)	Trigger	Contingencies	
S106 / CIL funds allocated to IDP- identified projects in each monitoring year (including provision into pooled contributions where combined impact of development is identified)	Budgeting developed as part of the 'Schedule of required infrastructure' presented in West Northamptonshire Infrastructure Delivery Plan <u>and</u> <u>in the future</u> <u>through Partner</u> <u>authority</u> <u>regulation 123</u> <u>lists for CIL</u> <u>spending</u>	INF2	INF1, C1, C3, S1; N3, N4, N5, N6, N7, N8, N9, N11, N12, D1,D3, D4, D5, T1, T2, T3, T4, B1, B2, B3, B4	SA Obj 10/19	LPAs, WNDC, RSLs, Developers, Service Providers / <u>S106 + CIL +</u> <u>IDP Monitoring,</u> <u>WNDC, LPAs,</u> <u>WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	Any significant potential delay in the supply of infrastructure affecting housing / employment delivery identified in the Annual monitoring of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan	Identify the problem and barriers / causes preventing infrastructure delivery. Dialogue with developers / statutory undertakers / LA partners re resolving the problem Discussion of alternative solutions / reassessment of need and/or timing of infrastructure need. Review of IDP phasing, timing and funding for infrastructure required to deliver necessary infrastructure.	✓ Formatted: Space After: 6 pt

			Objec	tive 3 - Conne	ections			7
maximising use	alternative travel mo	odes. In so do	oing, combat c	ongestion in ou		ority across West North wn centres, reduce carl te car.		Formatted Table
Policy Numbers:	C1, C2, C3, C4,	C5, INF1, R2	2,R3; N3, N4, N	15, N6, N7, N8,	, N9, N11, N12, D1,D	03, D4, D5, T1, T2, T3,	T4, B1, B2, B3, B4	-
Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> Source (for monitoring data)	TriggerSource (for monitoring data)	<u>Contingencies</u>	
NI 167 - Congestion - Average Journey Time per mile during the morning peak (Local Transport Plan (LTP)	See LTP Targets	C1	C2, C3, C4, C5, N3, N4, N5, N6, N7, N8, N9, N11, N12, D1,D3, D4, D5, T1, T2, T3, T4, B1, B2, B3, B4	SA Obj 1/2	LPAs, NCC Highways, HA, WNDC, Developers	DfT, HA, NCC Highways,		

Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery)/ Source (for monitoring data)	Trigger	Contingencies	
Delivery of relevant Transport Schemes to timescales set out in <u>Northamptons</u> <u>hire</u> <u>Transportation</u> <u>Local</u> <u>Transport</u> Plan / Infrastructure Delivery Plan (as appropriate) (LTP Indicator)	Targets in LTP Delivery of specific transportation schemes as set out in the IDPProgramme and NTPIDP	C3	INF1, N3, N4, N5, N6, N7, N8, N9, N11, N12, D1, D3, D4, D5, T1, T3, T4, B1, B2, B3, B4	SA Obj 1/2/11/21	Northamptonshire County Council Highways, HA <u>./</u> <u>LPAs, WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	Any significant potential delay in the supply of infrastructure affecting housing / employment delivery identified in the Annual monitoring of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and <u>NTPLTP Monitoring</u> , LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Identify the problem and barriers / causes preventing infrastructure delivery. Dialogue with developers / statutory undertakers / LA partners re resolving the problem Discussion of alternative solutions / reassessment of need and/or timing of infrastructure need. Review of IDP phasing, timing and funding for infrastructure required to deliver necessary infrastructure	Formatted Table Formatted: Space After: 6 pt

		Objectiv	e 4 - Protectir	ng and Buildir	ig Urban Communit	ies			Formatted Table
					d links new and exist of Northampton and	ing communities physic I Daventry.	ally and socially, to		
Policy Numbers:			S10	, N1,N11, D1,	D4, T2, B1, RC1, RC	2		-	Formatted: Right: 0.31 cm
Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery)/ Source (for monitoring data)	TriggerSource (for monitoring data)	Contingencies		
Number of Planning Permissions granted contrary to Northamptons hire Police Crime Prevention Design Advisor's advice (using Safer Places and Secured by Design Guidance)	No applications to be granted contrary to advice without full and reasoned justification	S10	N1, N11, D1, D4, T2, RC1, B1	SA Obj 9	Developers, LPAs, Northamptonshire Police / <u>LPAs,</u> <u>Northamptonshire</u> <u>Police, WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	<u>0 permissions</u> <u>approved contrary to</u> <u>adviceLPAs,</u> Northamptonshire Police, WNJPU Monitoring	Barriers to good crime prevention by design Consider policy review		

Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery)/ Source (for monitoring data)	Trigger	Contingencies	
% Planning Permissions for new residential and commercial development making adequate provision for community facilities and public open space	PPG17 Local standards in Open Space standards and those identified in/ <u>Recreation</u> studies and the West Northamptonshir e Sports Facilities Strategy and Cultural Investment Plan as Referenced in Policy RC2 – Achievement of facilities specified in SUE policies	RC2	RC1, S10, N2, N3, N4, N5, N6, N7, N8, N9, N11, D3, T3, B2, B3	SA Obj 16/19/21/22	Developers, WNDC, LPAs / LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	>20% new permissions failing to meet adopted standards LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Review development management policies and practices if necessary Discussions with partners and key organisations to review targets and required standards Further discussions with developers on viability Consider a review of policy	Formatted: Font: Not Bold Formatted: Font: Not Bold

		Obje	ctive 5 - Supp	orting Northa	mpton Town Centre)		٦		
						n quality retail, employm				
Policy Numbers:	ment at the heart of	Northampton	shire and to su		N2, S2	on Central Area Action	Plan.			
Indicator (and Type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> Source (for monitoring data)	TriggerSource (for monitoring data)	<u>Contingencies</u>	-	Formatted Table	
Amount of new net retail convenience floorspace created in Northampton Town Centre	3,000m ² net convenience (Food) shopping floorspace for period 2010 - 2026	N2	S2, N1	SA Obj 1/2/17/22	Northampton Borough Council, WNDC, Developers <u>/</u> <u>NBC, WNDC,</u> <u>WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	>3,000m ² net <u>convenience</u> <u>floorspace permitted</u> 2010 - 2026 <1,000m ² net <u>convenience</u> <u>floorspace permitted</u> <u>by 2021NBC,</u> <u>WNDC, WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	Review evidence base Consider review of policy			
Amount of new net retail comparison floorspace created in Northampton Town Centre	37,500m ² 45,000 m ² net comparison shopping floorspace for period 2010 - 2026	N2	S2, N1	SA Obj 1/2/17/22	Northampton Borough Council, WNDC, Developers / <u>NBC, WNDC,</u> <u>WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	Grosvenor Centre extension not started by 2016 >37,500m ² net comparison shopping approved floorspace for period 2010 – 2026 <20,000m ² net comparison shopping completed floorspace by 2021NBC, WNDC, WNJPU Monitoring	Review evidence base Consider barriers to implementation Consider review of policy			

Objective: To s	upport the regeneration				try Town Centre	ucture delivery		
Policy Numbers:		on or Davenity	y lown centre l		, S2, S3			Formatted Tabl
Indicator (and Type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery)/ Source (for monitoring data)	TriggerSource (for monitoring data)	Contingencies	
Amount of new net convenience floorspace created in Daventry Town Centre	2,0002,900m ² net convenience (Food) floorspace for period 2010 - 2026	D2	D1, S2	SA Obj 1/2/17/22	Daventry District Council, WNDC, Developers <u>/</u> DDC, WNDC, <u>WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	<pre><1,000m² net convenience (Food) floorspace completed or under construction by 2021DDC, WNDC, WNJPU Monitoring</pre>	Review evidence base Work with developer / land owner to produce a viable and suitable scheme Consider barriers to implementation Consider review of policy	
Amount of new net comparison floorspace created in Daventry Town Centre	5,100m ² 7,600m ² net comparison shopping floorspace for period 2010 - 2026	D2	D1, S3	SA Obj 1/2/17/22	Daventry District Council, WNDC, Developers / DDC, WNDC, WNJPU Monitoring	DDC, WNDC, WNJPU Monitoring<2,500m ² net convenience (Food) floorspace completed or under construction by 2021	Review evidence base Work with developer / land	

		Objective 7	7 - Supporting	Towcester &	Brackley Town Cer	ntre		For	matted Table
	upport Towcester and towns are the focus of					nunities are self-suffic	ient sustainable		
Policy Numbers:				T1, T2, B1	, B2, S2, S9				
Indicator (and Type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> <u>Source (for</u> monitoring data)	Source (for monitoring data)Trigger	Contingencies		
VitalityAmount of new convenience floorspace delivered in Towcester Town Centre – measured through planning applications	<u>No loss of</u> <u>A1750m² net</u> convenience (Food) floorspace <u>Increase in total</u> <u>suitable town</u> <u>centre use</u> <u>floorspace-for</u> period 2010 - 2026	T2	<u>S2;S2, S9;</u> S9, T1	-SA Obj 1/2/17/22	South Northamptonshire Borough -Council, WNDC, Developers <u>/</u> <u>SNC, WNJPU</u> <u>monitoring</u>	Net loss of appropriate town centre floorspace over a rolling 3 year period ≥10% net loss of A1 floorspace over a rolling 3 year periodSNC, WNDC, WNJPU Monitoring	Review impact of changes Work with developer / land owner to produce a viable and suitable scheme Review development management policies Consider AAP Consider review of plan		

Indicator (and Type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> <u>Source (for</u> monitoring data)	Source (for monitoring data)Trigger	Contingencies	Formatted Table
VitalityAmount of new convenience floorspace delivered in Towcester Town Centre – measured through planning applications	No loss of <u>A1</u> 750m ² net convenience (Food) floorspace <u>Increase in total</u> <u>suitable town</u> <u>centre use</u> <u>floorspace for</u> period 2010 - 2026	Τ2	<u>S2;S2, S9;S9,T1</u>	-SA Obj 1/2/17/22	South Northamptonshire Borough-Council, WNDC, Developers / SNC, WNJPU monitoring	Net loss of appropriate town centre floorspace over a rolling 3 year period ≥10% net loss of A1 floorspace over a rolling 3 year periodSNC, WNDC, WNJPU Monitoring	Review impact of changes Work with developer / land owner to produce a viable and suitable scheme Review development management policies Consider AAP Consider review of plan	
VitalityAmount of new convenience floorspace delivered in Brackley_Town Centre – measured through planning applications	No loss of <u>A1500m² net</u> convenience (Feed) floorspace <u>Increase in total</u> <u>suitable town</u> <u>centre use</u> <u>floorspace-for</u> period 2010 - 2026	B2	S2; S9; B1	-SA Obj 1/2/17/22	South Northamptonshire Borough-Council, Developers / SNC, WNJPU monitoring	Net loss of <u>appropriate town</u> <u>centre floorspace</u> <u>over a rolling 3</u> <u>year period</u> <u>>10% net loss of</u> <u>A1 floorspace over</u> <u>a rolling 3 year</u> <u>period.SNC,</u> WNJPU Monitoring	Review impact of changes Work with developer / land owner to produce a viable and suitable scheme Review development management policies Consider AAP Consider review of plan	

			Objective 8	- Economic A	dvantage			•	Formatted Table		
strategic transpo	Objective: To strengthen & diversify West Northamptonshire's economy by taking advantage of our internationally well-placed location, Strategic transport network and proximity to London and Birmingham. Policy E1, E2, E3, E4, E5, E6, INF2, N1, N3, N5, N7; N8; N9; D3, T3, B1, B2, S7, S8										
Policy Numbers:		E1, E2, E	3, E4, E5, E6,	INF2, N1, N3,	N5, N7; N8; N9; D3,	13, B1, B2, S7, S8					
Indicator (and Type)	Target	<u>Contingencies</u>									
Employment land available - by type	Maintain 5 year <u>pipeline</u> supply of prime employment land	E1	E2;E3;E5;N 3;N7;N8;N9 ;D3B1;B2;S 7;S8	SA Obj 17	WNDC, Developers, LPA's <u>/ WNDC,</u> <u>LPAs, WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	Amount of land <u>available falls by</u> ≥15% in a rolling 5 year period against 2012 Employment Technical Paper base WNDC, LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Identify reasons for fall. Identify reasons if land is being lost to other non employment uses. <u>Review</u> development management policies and practices if necessary <u>Consider allocation</u> of additional employment land. <u>Review Policy</u>		Formatted Table		

Indicator (and Type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> <u>Source (for</u> monitoring data)	<u>Trigger</u> Source (for monitoring data)	<u>Contingencies</u>	
Losses of		E1	N1;D1;T1;B	SA Obj 17	WNDC,	Any loss of	Review	Formatted Table
employment	No loss of		1;INF2;E5;		Developers,	<u>WNELS</u>	<u>development</u>	
land per year -	employment land		D3;N5;B1		LPA's <u> / WNDC,</u>	employment areas	management	
by type	areas shown in the				<u>LPAs, WNJPU</u>	to other uses	policies and	
	WNELS study of				<u>Monitoring</u>		practices if	
	<u>2010.</u>					Any loss of	necessary	
						employment land		
	Controlled change					to other uses which	Review annual	
	of smaller					are not justified by	reporting of change	
	employment sites					the	in the AMR	
	within main urban					evidence.WNDC,		
	areas where None,					LPAs, WNJPU	Review of WNELS	
	unless it can be					Monitoring	site assessments	
	demonstrated that						Deview of policy	
	the site is no						Review of policy	
	longernot viable for							
	employment <u>use</u> .				1			

Indicator (and Type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> <u>Source (for</u> monitoring data)	<u>Trigger</u> Source (for monitoring data)	Contingencies
Net job growth to 2026	<u>19,000</u> <u>16,000</u> additional <u>net</u> jobs by 2026 <u>(over 2008</u> <u>base)</u>	S7	E2;B2;S7;S 8;N3;N7;N8 ;N9;D3;T3; B2	SA Obj 17	WNDC, Developers, LPA's <u>/WNDC, LPAs, ONS, WNJPU Monitoring</u>	<pre><2,000 net additional jobs by 2016 <10,000 netadditional jobs by 2021 >10,000 net jobs by 2016₩NDC, LPAs, ONS, WNJPU Monitoring</pre>	Review of planincluding WNELSto see if furtherrelease ofemployment landrequiredIdentify reasonschanges and if jobsare being createdfaster or slowerthan expected.Consider allocationof additionalemployment landReview trigger inannual reporting ofchange in the AMRReview policy
On track to deliver jobs at Towcester South SUE	Minimum of 7.5 ha employment land3,000 Jobs (1,500 should be delivered by 2026)	Τ3	S7;S8	SA Obj 17	WNDC, Developers, LPA's <u>/ WNDC,</u> <u>LPAs, WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	No employment land delivered by 2021WNDC, LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Review requirement <u>Work with</u> developers / land owners to develop viable and suitable schemes. Review policy

Indicator (and Type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> Source (for monitoring data)	<u>Trigger</u> Source (for monitoring data)	Contingencies
Office provision of 100,000 sqm in Northampton Town Centre	100,000sqm over plan period	N2	S7;S8;N1	SA Obj 17	WNDC, Developers, LPA's <u>/ WNDC,</u> <u>LPAs, WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	WNDC, LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring<5,000 sqm by 2016 <50,000 sqm by 2021	Adoption of CAAP by 2013. Identify reasons changes and if jobs are being created faster or slower than expected. Consider allocation of additional employment land Work with developers / land owners to develop viable and suitable schemes. Review policy

		Ohi	octivo Q - Spo	cialist Rusino	ss Development			-	
Objective: To se economy.	upport and develop op					velopment focused on	a low carbon		
Policy Numbers:				E3, S7, S8,	N3, S10, S11			Formatted Table	
Indicator (and Type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> Source (for monitoring data)	TriggerSource (for monitoring data)	<u>Contingencies</u>		
NewPercentag e of new developments that generate a minimum of 10% of its energy needs from decentralised and renewable/ low carbon sources.	To provide renewable energy at source through decentralised energy schemes considered on all new developments and regeneration schemes through open book analysis with LPAs10%	S10	S11	SA Obj 14/15	National Grid, WNDC, Developers, NCC and LPA's <u>/ WNDC, LPAs, WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	≥0 new development schemes (excluding those already permitted) that are proposed in the Joint Core Strategy and approved after 2014 that fail to provide decentralised energy opportunities WNDC, LPAS, WNJPU Monitoring	Consider barriers to implementation and relevance of policy with Development Management and key organisations Work with developers / land owners to develop viable and suitable schemes. Review policy		
Delivery of Technology Realm at <u>SEMLEP</u> Northampton <u>Waterside</u> <u>Enterprise</u> <u>ZoneNorth</u>	Development of Enterprise Zone in accordance with SEMLEP proposed timescale7 hectares over the plan period	E3	S7;S8;N3	SA Obj 17	NBC, DDC, Developers_/ <u>WNDC, LPAs,</u> <u>WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	Use of SEMLEP <u>triggers</u> WNDC, LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	To be determined by SEMLEP and the government.		

Northamptonshi		ucture and stre	ngthening the	of our commur link between lc	ities through suppor cal businesses and	ting the development local schools, Moulton					
Policy Numbers:	-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -,										
Indicator (and Type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> <u>Source (for</u> monitoring data)	TriggerSource (for monitoring data)	Contingencies				
Number of new schools built	As specified in specific SUE policies	E6	N3, N4, N5, N6, N7, N8, N9, D3, T3, B4	SA Obj 10	LPA's, developers, education authorities / S106 + CIL + IDP Monitoring, LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	School not provided in accordance with relevant SUE policyS106 / CIL / IDP Monitoring, LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Discuss requirement with NCC education section Review school requirement				

T

Formatted Table

Indicator (and Type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> <u>Source (for</u> monitoring data)	<u>Trigger</u> Source (for monitoring data)	<u>Contingencies</u>	Formatted Table
Investment secured for educational facilities through developer contributions	Budgeting developed as part of the 'Schedule of required infrastructure' presented in West Northamptonshire Infrastructure Delivery Plan and in the future through Partner authority regulation 123 lists for CIL spending; or through site specific S106 agreements. To be determined in Developer Contributions SPD	E6	N3, N4, N5, N6, N7, N8, N9, D3, T3, B4	SA Obj 10/11	LPA's, developers, education authorities <u>/ S106</u> <u>+ CIL + IDP</u> <u>Monitoring, LPAs,</u> <u>WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	No finances or insufficient finances accrued through either Reg 123 CIL or S106 arrangementsS106 /CIL/IDP Monitoring, LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Review Reg123 schedules Review S106 Development management practices Discuss requirements with NCC education section	

meets their nee	ds. Housing developm	nent will be fo	nable locations	nost sustainabl	esidents have acces le location of Northan	s to a home that they npton, supported by D eas to provide for loca	aventry and	
Policy Numbers:		S1, S3, S4, S	S5, S6, H1, H2,	, H4, H5, H6, N	13, N4, N5, N6, N7, N	18, N9, D3, T3, B2, B3	3	Formatted Table
Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery)/ Source (for monitoring data)	TriggerSource (for monitoring data)	<u>Contingencies</u>	
Density of new dwellings with planning permission on Sustainable Urban Extension Sites (Local)	Minimum average density of 35dph on SUE sites set out in Policy H1	H1	S1, H1, N3, N4, N5, N6, N7, N8, N9, D3, T3, B2, B3	SA Obj 20/21/22	WNDC, LPAs and Developers / LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Masterplan proposes <35dph on SUE Planning Application (or subsequent amendment applications) results in <35dph on SUELPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Work with developers / land owners to develop viable and suitable schemes. <u>Review</u> requirement <u>Review policy</u>	

type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> Source (for monitoring data)	<u>Trigger</u> Source (for monitoring data)	<u>Contingencies</u>	Formatted Table
Housing Targets <u>(as set</u>	See JCS Trajectory and Table 1 in Spatial Strategy Section	S3	S1, S4, S5, S6	SA Obj 20/21/22	WNDC, LPAs and Developers <u>/</u> <u>LPAs, WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	Dwelling delivery+/- 25% difference against trajectory on a rolling 3 year basis. (Applications and completions)LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Work with developers / land owners to develop viable and suitable schemes.Production and Adoption of NRDA Allocations and Development Management, and DDC and SNC Settlements and Countryside DPDs by 2015.Review trajectory / requirementUpdate SHLAA annually, and full review every 5 years minimum.Review policy	

Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> Source (for monitoring data)	TriggerSource (for monitoring data)	Contingencies
Net Additional Dwellings per annum (including performance against SUE phasing) <u>as</u> shown in the Housing Technical Paper and detailed Housing Trajectory(follo wing Core Output Indicator H2 a- d)	Meet or exceed annual targets in Table 1 of Spatial Strategy Section and phasing for SUEs in <u>the</u> <u>detailed housing</u> <u>trajectory</u> Policy S6	S3	S1, H1, N3, N4, N5, N6, N7, N8, N9, D3, T3, B2, B3	SA Obj 20/21/22	WNDC, LPAs and Developers / LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Individual SUE trajectories +/- 25% on a rolling 3 year period (permissions and completions measured)LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Work with developers / land owners to develop viable and suitable schemes. Review trajectory / requirement Update SHLAA annually, and full review every 5 years minimum. Review policy

Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> Source (for monitoring data)	<u>Trigger</u> Source (for monitoring data)	<u>Contingencies</u>
New and Converted Dwellings on Previously Developed Land (following COLH3)	30% Target for completions on Previously Developed Land (from JCS Policy S1)	S1	S3, N1, D1, T1, B1, S4	SA Obj 20	WNDC, LPAs and Developers / LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Dwelling delivery on PDL +/- 25% difference against trajectory on a rolling 3 year basis. (Applications and completions)LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Work with developers / land owners to develop viable and suitable schemes. <u>Review trajectory /</u> requirement <u>Update SHLAA</u> annually, and full review every 5 years minimum. Review policy
Net Additional Pitches - Gypsy and Traveller (following COI H4)	Detailed breakdown on Transit / Residential / Travelling Showpeople pitch requirements in JCS Policy H7	H7		SA Obj 20/21/22	LPAs, Liaison with RSLs <u>/LPA</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	Policy H7 targets to be achieved by 2017LPA Monitoring	DPD to be prepared Publication December 2013 Submission April 2014 Adoption February 2015

Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> <u>Source (for</u> monitoring data)	<u>Trigger</u> Source (for monitoring data)	<u>Contingencies</u>		
Gross Affordable Housing Completions (following COI H5)	JCS affordable housing targets, based on SHMA in Policy H2: <u>3425% in the town</u> of Daventry District <u>40% in Rural Areas</u> within Daventry District <u>35% in</u> Northampton Related Development Area <u>3840% in South</u> Northamptonshire District <u>the towns of</u> Towcester and Brackley <u>50% in Rural Areas</u> within South Northamptonshire District	H2		SA Obj 20/21/22	LPAs, WNDC, Developers with RSLs and HCA input <u>/ LPA</u> <u>Monitoring, CLG</u> <u>Returns, HMA</u> <u>Consultants,</u> <u>WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	LPA Monitoring, CLG Returns, HMA Consultants, WNJPU Monitoring Daventry Town <20% Daventry Rural Areas <35% Northampton (NRDA) <30% Towcester and Brackley <35% South Northamptonshire Rural Areas <45% All percentages determined on a rolling 3 year basis - permissions and completions	Work with developers / land owners to develop viable and suitable schemes. <u>Review</u> development management policies and practices if necessary <u>Review trajectory /</u> requirement <u>Review policy</u>	•	Formatted: Left Formatted: Space After: 6 pt

		Objective 1	2 - Protecting	and Support	ing Rural Communi	ties]
	Objective:	To protect an	nd support rura	l communities :	to ensure they thrive	and remain vital.		
Policy Numbers:				R1, R2	, H1, H2			Formatted Table
Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> Source (for monitoring data)	<u>Trigger</u> Source (for monitoring data)	Contingencies	
% and type of commercial development delivered in rural areas (Local Indicator)	No specific target in JCS Policy R2	R2	R1, R3	SA Obj 17/22	LPAs, Developers / LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	>10 schemes with >5,000sqm each in a rolling 5 year periodLPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Review monitoring target Review policy implementation with stakeholders	
Permissions and Completions granted for Rural Exception Sites	No specific target in JCS Policy H3	H3	R1, R2	SA Obj 20/22	LPAs, RSLs, Developers <u>/</u> <u>LPAs, WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	 >40% rural exception sites include an element of market housing in a rolling 3 year period Or The proportion of market housing on any individual rural housing exception sites exceeds 25% LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring 	Review policy Examine evidence Review development management policies and practice Review triggers Review policy	

		Objecti	ive 13 - Rural	Diversification	and Employment							
Objective: To s	support rural diversifica	ation and rura	l employment o	opportunities, ir	n particular those rela	ated to agriculture, ho	rticulture and forestry					
Policy Numbers:		R1, R2, R3, E7										
Indicator (and Type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> <u>Source (for</u> monitoring data)	<u>Trigger</u> Source (for monitoring data)	Contingencies					
Number and type of rural diversification schemes permitted	Count of No. of applications and No of jobs created outside settlements but not directly related to agriculture, horticulture or forestry.	R1	R2,R3,E7	SA Obj 17/21/22	LPA's, Developers <u>/</u> <u>LPAs, WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	>5% applications are for demolition and complete re- build or new build (not related to Agriculture, horticulture or forestry)LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Review monitoring target Review policy					

			Objective 14	- Green Infra	structure					
	sity corridors, by inco					ategic green infrastruc ns (SUEs) at Northam				
Policy Numbers:	S10. BN1, BN2, BN4, BN10									
Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery)/ Source (for monitoring data)	TriggerSource (for monitoring data)	<u>Contingencies</u>			
Change in Areas of Biodiversity Importance (following Core Output Indicator E2)	<u>MonitorNo Specific</u> Target in Policy BN2 – monitor change in numbers/area	BN2	BN1	SAObj 5/6/7/8	Northamptonshire Biodiversity Partnership, LPAs, Natural England <u>/</u> <u>Northamptonshire</u> <u>BAP, LPAs,</u> <u>WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	<u>A decrease in</u> <u>designated areas</u> <u>on a rolling 3 year</u> <u>basis</u> Northamptons hire BAP, LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring	Identify the reasons / causes and discuss with partners, including the Wildlife Trusts to determine what action, if any, is required Assist with identifying sources of finance to address the situation Consider a review of the monitoring trigger Consider a review of the policy.			

Formatted Table

Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> Source (for monitoring data)	TriggerSource (for monitoring data)	<u>Contingencies</u>
Development	All development in	BN4		SA Obj	LPAs,	Review all	Review
designed to take	250m zone to			5/6/7/8	Developers,	consents within	development
accountdeliverin	show no negative				Natural England /	designated 250m	management
g a net gain of	impact on bird				LPAs, WNJPU	zone and the	policies and
<u>bird</u>	sightlinesPolicy				<u>Monitoring</u>	protection	practices
sightlinesresiden	BN4 - No net gain					areaLPAs, WNJPU	
tial units within	of units to be					Monitoring	Review monitoring
<u>250 m of</u>	permitted						target
<u>the900m on</u>							
Upper Nene							Review policy
Valley Gravel							
Pits pSPA							
Boundary							

Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery)/ Source (for monitoring data)	Trigger	Contingencies	۹	Formatted Table
Planning Permissions granted subject to presentation of satisfactory Ground Stability Report	Assessments consistent with the criteria in Policy BN10 – Count of consents with condition; Count of no of reports supplied and agreed	BN10		SA Obj 18/19/23	LPAs, Developers, British Geological Survey <u>/LPAs,</u> <u>WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring No permissions granted where reports not supplied and accepted, or approved subject to a suitable condition	Review development control policies and practice. Review policy		
Planning Permissions granted subject to presentation of satisfactory Ecological Assessment	Assessments consistent with the criteria in Policy BN2- Count of consents with condition; Count of no of reports supplied and agreed	BN2		SAObj 5/6/7/8	LPAs, WNDC, Developers, Natural England <u>/ LPAs, WNJPU</u> <u>Monitoring</u>	LPAs, WNJPU Monitoring No permissions granted where reports not supplied and accepted, or approved subject to a suitable condition	Review development control policies and practice. Review policy	•	- (Formatted Table

			Objective 1	5 – High Quali	ity Design							
•	achieve high quality de active place for reside	•			es account of local c	haracter and heritage	and provides a safe,					
Policy Numbers:	BN5, S10, <u>S11,</u> R1											
Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> Source (for monitoring data)	TriggerSource (for monitoring data)	Contingencies					
Preparation of Independent Design Appraisals.Nu mber of Conservation Areas, and Percentage of Conservation Areas with an up to date character appraisal	To provideIndependentDesign Appraisalson all newdevelopments andregenerationschemes meetinglocally adoptedstandards inSustainableDevelopmentPrinciples SPD andrelevant UrbanDesignFrameworks.Eachconservation areato be re-appraisedevery 5 yearsOriginal indicatortransferred to newObjective 16 (seebelow for changes)	<u>S10</u> BN5	<u>S11,</u> <u>BN5,</u> S10, R1	SA Obj 4/18/19	LPAs, Design Council, OPUN, Developers, WNDC / LPAs, WNJPU MonitoringLPAs	>0 new development schemes (excluding those already permitted) that are proposed in the Joint Core Strategy and approved after 2014 that fail to provide suitable Independent Design AppraisalsLPA Monitoring	Consider barriers to implementation and relevance of policy with Development Management and key organisations for good practice including OPUN and the Design Council Work with developers / land owners to develop viable and suitable schemes. Review policy					

Indicator (and type)	Target	Main Policy Delivered	Additional Policies Delivered?	SA Objective Delivered?	Main Agencies (for delivery) <u>/</u> Source (for monitoring data)	TriggerSource (for monitoring data)	<u>Contingencies</u>] •—	Formatted Table
Percentage of Grade I and II* Listed Buildings At	RSS Policy 26 - Decrease from 2006 levels.	BN5	S10, R1	SA Obj 4/18/19	English Heritage / LPAs	English Heritage			
Risk	Transferred to new Objective 16 (see below for changes)								

				tive 16 - Herita							
	conserve and where po										
	aventry, Towcester an	d Brackley, a	nd to recognis	e the role of ru	ral heritage assets a	nd their settings to su	oport a sense of				
place and local distinctiveness.											
Policy		<u>BN5, S10, R1</u>									
Numbers:	Tanad					T . 1	O and the second second				
Indicator (and	Target	<u>Main</u> Daliau	Additional	<u>SA</u>	Main Agencies	<u>Trigger</u>	Contingencies				
<u>type)</u>		Policy Delivered	Policies Delivered?	Objective Delivered?	(for delivery)/ Source (for						
		Delivered	Delivered	Delivered	monitoring data)						
Number of	Each conservation	BN5	S10, R1	SA Obj 4/18	LPAs / LPA	<75%	Discussions with				
Conservation	area to be re-	DING	<u>510, KT</u>	<u>57 Obj 4/10</u>	Monitoring	Conservation	partners to identify				
Areas, and	appraised every 5				Montoling	Areas reviewed in	barriers / causes.				
Percentage of	vears					any 5 year period	<u>burnere / budbbbl</u>				
Conservation	<u>,</u>						Consider a review				
Areas with an							of the policy				
up to date											
character											
<u>appraisal</u>											
Percentage of	Decrease in the	BN5	<u>S10, R1</u>	<u>SA Obj 4/18</u>	English Heritage /	Increase in	Discussions with				
designated	percentage from				<u>LPAs</u>	percentage of	partners to identify				
<u>heritage</u>	the 2011 baseline					heritage assets at	barriers / causes				
assets At Risk	of the English					risk over a rolling	and assist in the				
	Heritage 'At Risk'					<u>3-year period, from</u>	development of				
	Register.					<u>a 2011 base</u>	schemes which will				
						(where available)	protect designated				
						or since monitoring commenced.	assets At Risk				
						commenced.					